This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viggnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/630,154	07/31/2000	Roland Rupp	GR 98 P 3052	5435
7	7590 06/05/2003		13	
Lerner and Greenberg PA Post Office Box 2480 Hollywood, FL 33022-2480			EXAMINER	
			KACKAR, RAM N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Al 13				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	4				
		09/630,154	RUPP ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
		Ram N Kackar	1763					
 Period for	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover she t	with th correspondence add	ress				
A SHC THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	PRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, oly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of t ill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	pril 2003 .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3)□ Dispositio	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) 🛛 (Claim(s) 1-5 and7-15 is/are pending in the app	plication.						
4	a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-14</u> is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-10 and 15</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌 (7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicatio	n Papers							
	he specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)∐ Ti	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept	ted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.					
400 =	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- ,	•					
11)∐ TI	ne proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner					
40\[T	If approved, corrected drawings are required in replace and a classification in a bit of the state of the sta							
	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	5. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	All b) Some * c) None of:							
	Certified copies of the priority documents							
	Certified copies of the priority documents							
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) <u></u> Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).				
	The translation of the foreign language proveknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			·				
Attachment(s								
2) 🎞 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice o	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-					

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al (JP 02248393) in view of Balog et al (US 4123571).

Kobayashi discloses a susceptor to hold substrates for vapor growth device having a plurality of carbon inserts which would be like tiles having depressions for substrates (Fig 1-3).

Kobayashi et al do not disclose carbon insert to have a coating of metal carbide.

Balog et al teach that metal carbides of refractory metals for metal susceptors as well as graphite have been studied and refractory metal carbides are found useful (Col 3 lines 20-29).

Balog et al disclose the use of tantalum carbide coating extensively (Abstract, Col 1 lines 56-57, Col 2 lines 40-44 and claim 1a)

Therefore it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to apply metal carbide to carbon inserts of Kobayashi for thermochemical stability and resistance to wear.

3. Claims 1-4, 7-10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al (JP 02248393) in view of Lennartz (US 5498442).

Art Unit: 1763

Kobayashi discloses a susceptor to hold substrates for vapor growth device having a plurality of carbon inserts which would be like tiles having depressions for substrates (Fig 1-3).

Kobayashi et al do not disclose carbon insert to have a coating of metal carbide.

Lennartz teaches that metal carbides of refractory metals like hafnium, niobium etc display exceptional hardness and thermochemical stability (Col 1 lines 5-18).

Therefore it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to apply metal carbide to carbon inserts of Kobayashi for thermochemical stability and resistance to wear.

4. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9-10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drage (US4793975) in view of Yamaga et al (US 5614447).

Drage discloses a susceptor to hold substrates for vapor growth device having a plurality of metal inserts, which would be like tiles having depressions for substrates (Fig 1).

Drage do not disclose carbon insert to have a coating of metal carbide.

Yamaga discloses the use of carbide film as a good absorber for infrared rays (Col 5 line 62 to Col 6 line 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to apply metal carbide coating to enable uniform heating due to high absorption of infrared rays and high melting point of metal carbides.

5. Claims 1-4 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al (JP 02248393) in view of Kanetake Takasaki (JP 61251021).

Kobayashi discloses a susceptor to hold substrates for vapor growth device having a plurality of carbon inserts which would be like tiles having depressions for substrates (Fig 1-3).

Art Unit: 1763

Kobayashi et al do not disclose carbon insert to have a coating of metal carbide.

Takasaki et al teach that metal carbides like titanium carbide display physical and chemical stability and thermal resistance (Abstract).

Therefore it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to apply metal carbide to carbon inserts of Kobayashi for thermochemical stability and resistance to wear.

Response to Amendment

Applicants amendments filed 4/23/2003 have been considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ram N Kackar whose telephone number is 703 305 3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M to 5:P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on 703 308 1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9310 for regular communications and 703 872 9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0661.

Art Unit: 1763

RK June 2, 2003 P. Havenjudl primer J. Browner pri un, + 1767 Page 5